A new approach to the classification of neonatal corneal opacities
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Purpose of review
Neonatal corneal opacification (NCO) describes the loss of corneal transparency at or soon after (<4 weeks) birth. Historically, the literature is strewn with terminology that has been at best misleading and at worst, a hindrance to selecting the appropriate treatment plan for, accurate genotype-phenotype correlation of and a better understanding of the entities that present in the clinic.

Recent findings
Recent literature has demonstrated that certain terms such as ‘sclerocornea’ are unhelpful when alluding to total NCO. The term Peters anomaly has also become a ‘waste paper basket’ diagnosis for anterior segment developmental anomalies. A new classification of NCO is suggested by the author, which allows a better understanding of the cause of NCO and the likely prognosis of therapeutic intervention.

Summary
This classification system should help the clinician understand the cause of NCO, better explain this to parents and recognize those conditions in which therapeutic intervention may be helpful. By understanding which conditions have a better chance of interventional success and by employing outcome definitions that take into consideration the developing neurobiological system of the infant brain and the effects of vision on its development, it is hoped more children with NCO will attain useful visual function.
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INTRODUCTION
Terminology for congenital neonatal corneal opacification (NCO) may be confusing [1,2,3]. NCO occurs with a prevalence of six in 100 000 newborns in Europe [4]. Understanding the exact genetic causes of NCO has resulted in some controversy in part due to unclear terminology such as ‘sclerocornea with Peters anomaly’ [5]. This issue of phenotyping is crucial if we are to progress in our understanding of the heterogeneous genetic causes of NCO, which frequently causes blindness from birth, and may be associated with other anterior segment developmental anomalies of the eye.

Traditionally the differential diagnosis for NCO is remembered by the pneumonic ‘S.T.U.M.P.E.D’ (Sclerocornea, Tears in Descemet’s membrane, Ulcers, Metabolic, Peters, Endothelial dystrophy and Dermoid). Although this serves as an aid to help the clinicians think of a differential diagnosis, it does not help define phenotype accurately.

In a recent review of chromosomal abnormalities causing congenital corneal opacification [2*], the authors found that of the 28 articles in which the term ‘sclerocornea’ was used, it described cornea plana/peripheral scleralization in 13 articles, whereas in the remaining 15 it signified total corneal opacification regardless of the cause. Similarly, in four of the 17 articles in which the term ‘Peters anomaly’ was used, it described a complete corneal opacity, without sonographic or histologic evidence of iridocorneal or keratolenticular adhesions. Ocular ultrasonography was used to better describe the phenotype in only four articles and ultrasound biomicroscopy (UBM) in only one [2*]. This suggests that a new classification/terminology may be worth considering helping with better genotype–phenotype...
correlation studies and better assessment of prognosis with or without intervention.

NCO may be considered in terms of primary and secondary corneal disease.

**PRIMARY CORNEAL DISEASE**

By definition, these forms of neonatal corneal opacities are always present at birth and never acquired thereafter. Primary congenital causes of corneal opacification include corneal dystrophies, corneal dermoid, peripheral sclerocornea and a recent description of CYP1B1 cytopathy.

**Corneal dystrophies**

Four corneal dystrophies may present at birth, which are as follows:

**Congenital hereditary endothelial dystrophy**

Congenital hereditary endothelial dystrophy (CHED) may be inherited in an autosomal recessive (CHED 2) or autosomal dominant (CHED 1) manner [6–8,9]. CHED is characterized by diffuse corneal edema and thickening of Descemet’s membrane affecting both eyes usually symmetrically presenting at birth [6]. The corneal edema can vary from a blue gray ground glass appearance to total corneal opacification (Fig. 1a and b). The clinical features remain stationary or progress slowly depending on the form of inheritance. CHED 1 is autosomal dominant and CHED 2 autosomal recessive. Patients with CHED 1 have clear corneas at birth and corneal clouding is first noted during the first or second year and is slowly progressive up to 5–10 years of age. Photophobia and epiphora are common, whereas nystagmus is uncommon. In contrast, corneal clouding is present at birth or within the neonatal period in CHED 2. Corneal opacification is dense at the time of diagnosis, and does not tend to progress [7]. There is no associated photophobia or epiphora. Nystagmus is invariably present early, presumably the result of severe corneal opacification at an early age [7] Vision tends to be better in autosomal dominant form than in recessive, but the visual acuity is usually severely affected.

The pathogenesis of CHED is considered to be due to a primary dysfunction of the corneal endothelium characterized by increased permeability and an abnormal Descemet’s membrane secretion [8]. Mutations in SLC4A11 have been shown to cause
some cases of CHED 2 [9**]. Although the gene responsible for CHED 1 is unknown, its locus is 20p11.2–q11.2, which is the same region as the gene for posterior polymorphous dystrophy.

Histological features of CHED include diffuse epithelial and stromal edema, defects in the Bowman membrane, paucity of endothelial cells, multinucleated cells and a thickened Descemet’s membrane, reflecting an abnormal secretion by the endothelial cells [8].

Reports of CHED with glaucoma are published [11], but caution is also raised about the possibility of artefactually raised pressure readings due to the very thick corneas seen in these cases [12]. Ancillary signs of congenital glaucoma must be looked for to make the correct diagnosis (e.g. increased horizontal corneal diameter, Haab striae, buphthalmos).

As CHED is a primary corneal disease, it is not surprising that most reports of penetrating keratoplasty (PKP) in the literature report relatively good graft survival and outcomes, although amblyopia due to marked early visual deprivation remains a problem [13,14]. More recently, reports of ‘Descemet’s stripping automated endothelial keratoplasty’ and ‘Descemet’s stripping endothelial keratoplasty’ have been published and the early reports are promising in terms of graft survival outcome and visual rehabilitation [15*].

**Posterior polymorphous corneal dystrophy**

Although posterior polymorphous corneal dystrophy (PPCD) usually presents in the second decade of life, it does rarely present at birth. It is characterized by polymorphous opacities at the endothelial level with or without the ‘snail track’ sign. It is associated with an increased risk of developing glaucoma and histologically is typified by multilayering of the endothelium, which develops epithelial characteristics that are readily detected by positive staining for cytokeratin [16].

Rarely it presents at birth [1,9**] when congenital glaucoma is often the differential diagnosis, but a normal corneal diameter for age, and absence of buphthalmos and Haab striae, helps steer the clinician to the diagnosis of a corneal endothelial dystrophy. The definitive diagnosis is histological. Family history or positive examination identifying an affected parent is also helpful. PPCD is inherited in an autosomal dominant manner. Mutations in multiple genes have been associated with PPCD.

Case series limited to congenital PPCD are non-existent, but it is the author’s experience and that of the pediatric case series published [1] that being a primary corneal disease, the outcomes of PKP are reasonably good even in children and infants.

**Congenital hereditary stromal dystrophy**

Congenital hereditary stromal dystrophy, also known as congenital stromal corneal dystrophy may be caused by a mutation in the decorin (DCN) gene at 12q22. There is diffuse clouding limbus to limbus, with flake-like opacities in the stroma. There is no vascularization or staining of the cornea [9**]. Reports of good outcomes are published wherein deep anterior lamellar keratoplasty (DALK) has been performed [10].

**X-linked endothelial corneal dystrophy**

X-linked endothelial corneal dystrophy was recently described in one pedigree [17]. In the only infant affected, corneal transplant was not necessary but it was thought that with time the endothelial dystrophy worsened, necessitating intervention. Again the report suggests good outcome of PKP in an adult. The infant presented with corneal haze, which was not superficial and which improved some days and worsened others.

**Corneal dermoid**

Corneal dermoids are choristomas. The majority is epibulbar (Fig. 2), but they may also be corneal and obstruct the visual axis. Lamellar keratoplasty is well described for even large corneal dermoids encroaching the visual axis, with good outcomes [18]. More extensive lesions with secondary iris change and/or lens change PKP has also been described [19]. Outcomes of lamellar keratoplasty are reasonably good, even though postoperative astigmatism can still lead to amblyopic visual loss.

**Peripheral sclerocornea**

The most important terminology change that would improve our understanding of NCO is the

---

FIGURE 2. Dermoid that does not encroach on the visual axis. Note the hair growing from the dermoid.
banishment of the term ‘sclerocornea’ when describing total corneal opacification. Using the word ‘sclerocornea’ to describe total congenital corneal opacification has been shown to be incorrect by imaging techniques on several occasions [1,2], and has also been shown to be confusing [2,5].

Interestingly, the two entries in OMIM termed ‘sclerocornea’ (dominant 181700 OMIM; recessive 269400 OMIM) are based on citations from 1985 and 1965, with the first describing a pedigree with peripheral sclerocornea and not total corneal opacification [20,21]. The only condition in which this term should apply is peripheral sclerocornea or cornea plana (CNA1, OMIM 121400, autosomal dominant; CNA2, OMIM 217300, autosomal recessive). In this condition, there is a flat cornea with reduced radius of curvature (lowest in CNA2), peripheral scleralization and often stromal opacities with or without irido-corneal adhesions, although these latter are more commonly seen in CNA2 (Fig. 3). This condition needs accurate refraction and spectacle or contact lens visual rehabilitation. Glaucoma is often a secondary problem due to shallow anterior chamber.

CYP1B1 cytopathy
Mutations in CYP1B1 are the commonest genetic cause for primary congenital glaucoma. In a recent article [22], it has been shown that some cases of congenital glaucoma can be accompanied by a congenital corneal opacification that is not due to the raised intraocular pressure. This entity presents as an opacity that fails to clear despite prompt and early control of intraocular pressure. Histological evaluation does not show features of CHED or stromal scarring consistent with neglected glaucoma. Whether this entity should be considered a dystrophy is debatable. It meets the criteria for a dystrophy: clinical phenotype (ground-glass opacification from limbus to limbus); histology (loss of Bowman’s membrane in the central cornea with infiltration of stromal cells but not in the periphery, absence of endothelial cells and loss of Descemet’s membrane centrally with marked thinning and marked reduction of endothelial cells peripherally but without any iridocorneal or kerato-lenticular adhesions); and identified gene mutation (CYP1B1). This study also raises the possibility that this entity is what was originally described as von Hippel’s ulcer.

The report shows good graft survival, but a severe optic neuropathy secondary to relentless glaucoma.

SECONDARY CORNEAL DISEASE
This may be congenital or acquired.

Congenital
Secondary corneal disease presenting at birth is invariably due to a maldevelopment of the anterior segment of the eye and includes:

Kerato-irido-lenticular dysgenesis
This includes iridocorneal adhesions, failure of the lens separation from the cornea, lens separation but failure thereof of lens development, lens separation and formation but later apposition to the cornea and, lastly, failure of lens to form altogether.

Iridocorneal adhesions.
The phenotype here may be central (Fig. 4) or eccentric (Fig. 5) or, less commonly, a total corneal opacity. These malformations have traditionally been called Peters anomaly type 1, but the most important clinical feature for purely iridocorneal adhesions is that the corneal opacity is invariably avascular. Zaidman [23] has shown that results of PKP are good and a recent review of the literature supports this finding [24]. Why iridocorneal adhesions should occur is unknown, but they have been seen with mutations in PITX2, FOXC1, CYP1B1, PAX6 and other genes [25–27].

Lens fails to separate from cornea.
The phenotype is usually a vascularized central or total corneal opacity (Fig. 6). This is traditionally called Peters anomaly type 2. In this condition, there is a kerato-lenticular adhesion due to a developmental failure of separation of the invaginating lens vesicle from the overlying surface ectoderm. On
high-frequency ultrasound (or UBM), the anterior lens capsule is not discernible at the point of attachment and the lens is often cataractous. In a mouse model [28], homozygous mutations in the gene foxe3 result in developmental failure of lens vesicle–ectoderm separation. Foxe3 is thought to be essential for closure of the lens vesicle and is a factor that promotes survival and proliferation in the lens epithelium [29]. Mutations in FOXE3 in humans have been described, with homozygous mutations causing primary aphakia [30] (see below) and heterozygous mutations causing a variable phenotype including ‘Peters anomaly’ [31]. Not all cases of failed lens–ectoderm separation are due to FOXE3 mutations (Fig. 6), but it is apparent that a primary lens problem can lead to extralenticular changes including corneal opacification. It is not surprising then that corneal transplants for such cases often result in early rejection due to the lens having to be removed at the time of surgery with subsequent exposure of the vitreous to the new donor graft [24].

**Lens separates but fails to form thereafter.**

The phenotype here is usually total corneal opacification with vascularization (Fig. 7). This can only be diagnosed by anterior segment imaging using high-frequency ultrasound or anterior segment ocular coherence tomography (Fig. 7). The prognosis for corneal transplantation is poor due to the need for concomitant vitrectomy at the time of surgery, as there is only ever lens remnant. Whether the vitreous itself is abnormal in such cases is unclear.

**Lens separates and forms, but there is late corneal apposition.**

Here there is often a central white opacity that is usually avascular. The clue to it ‘not’ being a failure of separation is the finding of an intact anterior capsule reflectivity on high-frequency ultrasound (Fig. 8). Surgical removal of the lens is the most effective treatment here, allowing recovery of the endothelium rather than primary corneal transplantation. Note, removal of the lens without peeling off the anterior capsule that is adherent to the cornea often leads to maximal clearance of the corneal opacity. Causes include the following:

1. Hypoxia: why exactly this should happen is unclear, but it has been reported [32] and also seen by the author.
2. Persistent fetal vasculature: called by some authors pseudo-Peters anomaly; occurs most likely due to retrolenticular membrane pushing...
lens forward to the cornea (Fig. 9); and it may be seen also in vitreoretinal dysplasias [33].

(3) Aniridia: this may be entirely due to a very shallow anterior chamber and the slightest kerato-lenticular touch (Fig. 10) [34].

**Lens fails to form.**

This is best described as primary aphakia. It is distinct from resorption of a lens once it is fully formed as may be seen, for example in the membranous cataracts of Hallermann–Streiff syndrome. Primary aphakia is a rare condition that can be recognized by an opaque cornea, which will still allow transillumination of light. The eyes may be microphthalmic. The key clinical features are a silver/grey appearance to the cornea (Fig. 11). This condition has been shown to be due to homozygous or compound heterozygous mutations in FOXE3 [30,31]. This is a primary lens defect with secondary corneal opacification. Zinn [35], almost 40 years ago, showed that removal of the chick embryo lens resulted in an opaque, thin cornea, presumably because factors are released by the developing lens that promote normal corneal growth. Outcomes of PKP for this condition are very poor, often with phthisis of the eye within weeks of surgery. These cases often develop glaucoma and the natural history is that untreated they will often spontaneously rupture [36]. Retinal dysplasia may also be found.

**Irido-trabecular dysgenesis**

This group of disorders essentially includes primary congenital glaucoma and other entities in which glaucoma and abnormal irides are found together with corneal opacities.

**FIGURE 6.** Central vascularized corneal opacity (panel a left, black arrow). At corneal transplantation, no lens is seen (panel a right, white arrow pointing to vitreous). The ultrasound biomicroscopy was mistakenly interpreted as showing a lens close to the cornea (panel b top, white arrow), but histology showed that the lens had failed to separate from the cornea at all (panel b bottom, black arrow showing lens embedded in cornea). Another case of vascularized total corneal opacification; this should not be called ‘sclerocornea’ (c). Note the anterior capsule of the lens is not discernible, suggesting that there was incomplete separation of lens from cornea (panel d, white arrow). C, cornea; L, lens.
Primary congenital glaucoma.
Most commonly caused by mutations in \textit{CYP1B1} [37], mutations in \textit{LTBP2} [38] have also been implicated in this disease. Treating the glaucoma causes reversal of the secondary corneal opacification (Fig. 12), but if the condition is neglected then permanent stromal scarring accompanied by breaks in Descemet’s membrane may be seen.

\textit{Intracorneal cyst.}
This phenotype has been described in case reports [39] and recently digenic inheritance described in a single case [40*]. The mechanism is unknown, but usually glaucoma is a severe problem. There is what appears at first to be relative clearing in the center of the opacity suggestive of corneal clarity, but actually this is because of a thinned anterior stroma at the position of the intrastromal cyst (Fig. 13). Prognosis for surgery depends on associated ocular signs and features. The graft tends to remain clear, but retinal detachment has been described due to posterior persistent fetal vasculature [40*].

\textbf{ACQUIRED}
This includes infection, trauma and metabolic causes.

\textbf{Infection}
The commonest, but not the only causes, are viral and bacterial. In some parts of the world, fungal infections have been described in infants less than 4 weeks old.

\textbf{Viral}
The commonest cause is herpes simplex virus. \textit{Herpes simplex virus.}
This is one of the most common viral infections to affect the cornea in newborns [41,42]. Onset is often within 2 weeks of birth and so not strictly congenital. The presentation is of a cloudy cornea with a large epithelial defect (Fig. 14). Diagnosis is
often delayed, as congenital glaucoma is often considered first, but the lack of increased corneal diameter, raised intraocular pressure or buphthal-mos should raise the suspicion of another cause. Corneal scrapes for virology are essential. Systemic pediatric evaluation is critical to exclude pneumonitis, hepatitis and/or encephalitis. Treatment with PKP should not be performed in the acute phase of the disease. Even later, there is an increased risk of recurrence in the graft.

**Bacterial**

Bacterial infection can result in significant corneal opacities [43–45] (Fig. 15) and, although PKP should...
be considered, if the scarring is not full thickness, deep anterior lamellar keratoplasty [46] can be successfully performed. *Neisseria gonorrhea* should be considered in all cases of purulent keratoconjunctivitis.

**Trauma**

Although any form of trauma, including postnatal covert (blunt injury or medical child abuse) or overt accidental injury, can cause corneal opacification, specific causes that should be kept in mind when a child presents at birth with a corneal opacity include forceps injury and amniocentesis injury.

**Forceps injury**

Corneal edema secondary to forceps injury during complicated childbirth is well recognized. The breaks seen are usually linear and almost always unilateral. Recently the use of Descemet’s stripping automated endothelial keratoplasty has been advocated even as late as 8 years of age with improvement in visual acuity [47].

**Amniocentesis injury**

This is extremely rare but should be borne in mind when dealing with a unilateral presentation in which the opacity looks very angular or linear commensurate with a needle perforation. Concomitant signs such as cataract, iris or pupil abnormalities, or lid damage should raise the suspicion.

**Metabolic**

Although many textbooks will list mucopolysaccharidoses, cystinosis and other metabolic disorders...
as causes of corneal clouding in newborns, there is only one condition that truly causes this at birth or within 2–3 weeks thereafter.

**Muclinolipidosis IV**

This is the only metabolic condition that can present within a few weeks of birth [48]. It is extremely rare and the patient will often have other systemic abnormalities due to severe psychomotor delay causing the child to be hospitalized.

**CONCLUSION**

There have been many reports of outcomes of pediatric keratoplasty in children. Most of these reports fail to delineate the diagnosis accurately using anterior segment imaging [49–52]. By adopting the proposed mechanism of classification, it is hoped that a better understanding of prognosis is attained, a better understanding of cause is achieved and, therefore, a better possible outcome realized. Most studies also concentrate on corneal clarity as a measure of success, but the functional vision attained, even if only 20/400, has a much larger effect on global development than the clinical ophthalmologist may imagine [53*,54].
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This article illustrates nicely the development of better surgical techniques that can be used in children.
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